

## VU Research Portal

### **Splinting vs surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome - Reply**

Gerritsen, A.A.M.; de Vet, H.C.W.; Scholten, R.J.P.M.; Bertelsmann, F.W.; De Krom, M.C.T.F.; Bouter, L.M.

***published in***

JAMA

2003

***DOI (link to publisher)***

[10.1001/jama.289.4.421-a](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.289.4.421-a)

***document version***

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

***citation for published version (APA)***

Gerritsen, A. A. M., de Vet, H. C. W., Scholten, R. J. P. M., Bertelsmann, F. W., De Krom, M. C. T. F., & Bouter, L. M. (2003). Splinting vs surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome - Reply. *JAMA*, 289(4), 421-422.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.289.4.421-a>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

1. Gerritsen AAM, de Vet HCW, Scholten RJPM, Bertelsmann FW, de Krom MCTF, Bouter LM. Splinting vs surgery in the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288:1245-1251.
2. Kitsis CK, Savvidou O, Alam A, Cherry RJ. Carpal tunnel syndrome despite negative neurophysiological studies. *Acta Orthop Belg*. 2002;68:135-140.
3. Kim WK, Kwon SH, Lee SH, Sunwoo IN. Asymptomatic electrophysiologic carpal tunnel syndrome in diabetics: entrapment or polyneuropathy? *Yonsei Med J*. 2000;41:123-127.
4. Cudlip SA, Howe FA, Clifton A, Schwartz MS, Bell BA. Magnetic resonance neurography studies of the median nerve before and after carpal tunnel decompression. *J Neurosurg*. 2002;96:1046-1051.

**To the Editor:** In the study by Dr Gerritsen and colleagues,<sup>1</sup> several patients from the splinting group underwent surgery before completing the 18-month follow-up even though the trend of success was increasing for the splint group and decreasing (after 6 months) for the surgery group. In addition, only 31% received custom splints, which are known to yield higher compliance and better results.<sup>2</sup> It is likewise noteworthy that the splint protocol was only for nighttime use, which has been demonstrated to be less effective than full-time wear.<sup>3</sup> Comparison of splints to surgery is a futile effort. It might be appropriate to compare surgery with steroid injection, or with a combination of conservative methods, but not to splinting in isolation. A typical treatment plan for CTS applies a multifaceted approach, including anti-inflammatory medication with splinting, in addition to various forms of physical therapy, exercises, and manipulation.<sup>4</sup>

Benjamin M. Sucher, DO  
Center for Carpal Tunnel Studies  
Scottsdale, Ariz

1. Gerritsen AAM, de Vet HCW, Scholten RJPM, Bertelsmann FW, de Krom MCTF, Bouter LM. Splinting vs surgery in the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288:1245-1251.
2. Kruger VL, Kraft GH, Deitz JC, et al. Carpal tunnel syndrome: objective measures and splint use. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1991;72:517-520.
3. Walker WC, Metzler MS, Cifu DX, Swartz Z. Neutral wrist splinting in carpal tunnel syndrome: a comparison of night-only versus full-time wear instructions. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2000;81:424-429.
4. Sucher BM, Glassman JH. Upper extremity syndromes. In: Stanton D, Mein E, eds. *Manual Medicine: Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North America*. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders Co; 1996:787-810.

**To the Editor:** In their study, Dr Gerritsen and colleagues<sup>1</sup> confirmed the diagnosis of CTS with electrophysiological testing. It is possible, however, that discrepancies between functional nerve conduction studies and structural imaging techniques may predict response to conservative or surgical treatment. It appears that conventional sonography has less sensitivity than nerve conduction studies (0.70 vs 0.98, respectively), but greater specificity (0.63 vs 0.19, respectively).<sup>2</sup> Inclusion of sonographic measurement of the median nerve cross-sectional area performs even better, with sensitivity as high as 89% and a specificity of 83%.<sup>3</sup> Higher ultrasound frequencies ( $\leq 15$  MHz) allow excellent resolution for differentiation of mild nerve alterations.<sup>4</sup> Addition of color Doppler sonography could further help in delineation of an underlying inflammatory process.

Furthermore, local corticosteroid injection is an anti-inflammatory conservative approach.<sup>5</sup> In one study, 50% of patients (compared with 7% of controls) did not need a further treatment after a single local injection of corticosteroids.<sup>6</sup>

Sonographic imaging may help to diagnose inflammatory CTS, which would be more likely to respond to local corticosteroid injections. After exclusion of these patients with inflammatory CTS, the success rate for surgical interventions may be even higher.

Andrea Klauser, MD  
Department of Radiology II  
Michael Schirmer, MD  
Department of Internal Medicine  
University Hospital  
Innsbruck, Austria

1. Gerritsen AAM, de Vet HCW, Scholten RJPM, Bertelsmann FW, de Krom MCTF, Bouter LM. Splinting vs surgery in the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288:1245-1251.
2. Swen WA, Jacobs JW, Bussemaker FE, de Waard JW, Bijlsma JW. Carpal tunnel sonography by the rheumatologist versus nerve conduction study by the neurologist. *J Rheumatol*. 2001;28:62-69.
3. Wong SM, Griffith JF, Hui AC, Tang A, Wong KS. Discriminatory sonographic criteria for the diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2002;46:1914-1921.
4. Martinoli C, Bianchi S, Gandolfo N, Valle M, Simonetti S, Derchi LE. Use of nerve entrapments in osteofibrous tunnels of upper and lower limbs. *Radiographics*. 2000;20:199-213.
5. Gerritsen AA, de Krom MC, Struijs MA, Scholten RJ, de Vet HC, Bouter LM. Conservative treatment options for carpal tunnel syndrome: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *J Neurol*. 2002;249:272-280.
6. Dammers JW, Veering MM, Vermeulen M. Injection with methylprednisolone proximal to the carpal tunnel: randomised double blind trial. *BMJ*. 1999;319:884-886.

**In Reply:** Dr Bleecker states that we should have excluded individuals with work-related CTS. We doubt that absence of exposure to ergonomic stressors in the surgery group is the major reason for the difference in success rates between the groups. In our study, only 28% of the patients in the surgery group and 37% in the splint group indicated that their complaints might have been due to their normal daily activities, including work. Furthermore, absence from paid labor (during the whole trial) was only 12 days on average in the surgery group.

Dr Johnson suggests that nerve latencies are not the best measure for the diagnosis of CTS. Although we also measured amplitudes, the inclusion criteria were based on the current protocol of the Dutch Association of Clinical Neurophysiology. The primary outcome measures used in our study were subjective, as these are the most important for clinical practice. Although we obtained data on grip and key pinch strength, Semmes-Weinstein monofilament testing, and nerve conduction parameters, none of these objective measures correlated with the patients' subjective ratings.<sup>1</sup> We believe that this renders the objective measures less helpful for effect evaluation.

We agree with Dr Menkes that surgery might be beneficial for asymptomatic MNW with significant nerve conduction abnormalities. However, we do not have data to support this because such patients were not included in our study. Although we could analyze the patients in the surgery group with a prolonged baseline distal motor latency to determine if progression was prevented by the treatment. However, it is not possible to analyze progression of distal motor latency in patients with a prolonged baseline distal motor latency and treated by splinting alone because these numbers are so small.